```
Page 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
      ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) Case No.:
 4
                               ____ ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
 5
      ALL CASES
                                    ) Pages 1 to 70
 6
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE )
 7
      COMPANY, INC.,
8
                 Plaintiff,
9
            vs.
10
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
11
           Defendant.
12
13
              *** CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY ***
14
15
                       30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF:
16
                            COLIN MORALES
17
                     WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2022
18
                               4:02 p.m.
19
20
2.1
     REPORTED BY:
22
     Vickie Blair
23
     CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
24
     JOB NO. 5507318
2.5
      PAGES 1 - 70
```

	Page 2
1	Deposition of COLIN MORALES, the witness, taken on
2	behalf of the Proposed Class, on Wednesday,
3	November 9, 2022, 4:02 p.m., before VICKIE BLAIR,
4	CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR.
5 6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL VIA ZOOM:  FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT SURGICAL INSTRUMENT
O	SERVICE CO. INC.:
7	
	HALEY GUILIANO LLP
8	BY JOSHUA VAN HOVEN, Partner
9	111 North Market Street, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113
9	+1 669 213 1061
10	joshua.vanhoven@hglaw.com
11	FOR DEFENDANT INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.:
12	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
	BY CORTLIN H. LANNIN, Partner
13	415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
1 /	San Francisco, California 94105-2533 +1 415 591 7078
14	clannin@cov.com
15	Claimingeov. Com
	FOR THE PROPOSED CLASS:
16	
	SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.
17	BY JEFFREY L. SPECTOR, Partner
18	2001 Market Street, Suite 3420
Τ0	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 P: 215-496-0300
19	jspector@srkattorneys.com
20	SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.
0.1	BY ICEE N. ETHERIDGE, Associate
21	2001 Market Street, Suite 3420 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
22	P: 215-496-0300
22	ietheridge@srkattorneys.com
23	
	ALSO PRESENT:
24	
0 =	WILL DAVIS, Videographer
25	CHRIS McWILLIAMS, Videographer

				Page 3	
1			I N D E X		
2					
3	WITNESS		EXAMINATION	PAGE	
4	COLIN MORAL	ES			
5			(MR. VAN HOVEN)	7	
б			(MS. ETHERIDGE)	61	
7					
8					
9			INFORMATION REQUESTED		
10			None		
11					
12	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED BY COUNSEL NOT TO ANSWER				
13			None		
14					
15			EXHIBITS		
16	EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE	DESCRIPTION		
17	Exhibit 135	7	Plaintiffs' Notice of Re	mote Rule	
18			30(b)(6) Deposition of D	efendant	
19			Intuitive Surgical, Inc.		
20	Exhibit 136	11	PowerPoint deck, Bates n	umbers	
21			Intuitive-00147379 through	gh	
22			Intuitive-00147412		
23	Exhibit 137	27	Email chain, Bates number	r	
24			Intuitive-00983157		
25					
	I				

			Page 4
1		E X	H I B I T S (Continued)
2	EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE	DESCRIPTION
3	Exhibit 138	30	Email chain, Bates numbers
4			Intuitive-01068046 through
5			Intuitive-01068051
6	Exhibit 139	35	Email chain, Bates numbers
7			Intuitive-00603990 and
8			Intuitive-00603991
9	Exhibit 140	39	Electronic File Exhibit
10			Placeholder, File Name: Tab L2 -
11			Intuitive-00603992.xlsx
12	Exhibit 141	40	Various spreadsheets and charts
13	Exhibit 142	47	Email, Bates number
14			Intuitive-00626596
15	Exhibit 143	49	Gen4 Instrument Refurbishment
16			Pilot, Bates numbers
17			Intuitive-00626597 and
18			Intuitive-00626616
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
Page 52
1
     instrument.
     BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
 3
           Q
                 Got it.
 4
                  And I think let's -- I just want to unpack
 5
     a couple things there.
                  When you're doing a comparison of the
 6
 7
     COGS, that's C-o-g-s or cost of goods sold; is that
8
     right?
9
           Α
                 Yes.
10
                  When you're talking about a comparison of
11
     the COGS, you're comparing the new build COGS and the
12
     total COGS refurb?
13
           Α
                  That's --
14
                               Objection.
                 MR. LANNIN:
15
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Sorry.
16
     BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
17
           Q
                  Is that correct?
18
                  MR. LANNIN: Same objection.
19
                  You can answer.
2.0
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm comparing the two
21
     there.
2.2
     BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
23
                 And the new build COGS is essentially the
           0
     cost of goods sold for a brand new manufactured
24
     instrument?
25
```

	Page 53
1	MR. LANNIN: Object to the form.
2	THE WITNESS: Well, it looks like I'm
3	sorry, ask that question again.
4	BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
5	Q And the new build COGS is essentially the
6	cost of goods sold for a brand new manufactured
7	instrument?
8	MR. LANNIN: Object to the form.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
11	Q Whereas the total COGS refurb, including
12	disposal is the cost of goods sold for an instrument
13	that's been retrieved and refurbished?
14	MR. LANNIN: Object to the form.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
17	Q And I note that there's a "Refurbishment
18	Yield" row.
19	Do you have an understanding what that is?
20	A Yes.
21	Basically not every instrument that comes
22	back would necessarily be able to be refurbished,
23	depending on the condition, how many sterilization
24	cycles it went through, et cetera, so there's a lot of
25	things that could effect.